
Risk of cutaneous malignant melanoma in patients with celiac disease: A population-based study

Benjamin Lebwohl, MD, MS,^{a,b} Hanna Eriksson, MD, PhD,^c Johan Hansson, MD, PhD,^c
Peter H. R. Green, MD,^a and Jonas F. Ludvigsson, MD, PhD^{b,d}
New York, New York; and Stockholm and Örebro, Sweden

Background: Celiac disease (CD) carries an increased risk of several malignancies, including cancers of the gastrointestinal tract and hematologic malignancies. The disease course of cutaneous malignant melanoma (CMM) is affected by the immune status of the host, and therefore may be associated with CD.

Objective: We sought to test for an association between CD and CMM in a population-based setting.

Methods: We queried all (n = 28) pathology departments in Sweden and identified patients with intestinal histology consistent with CD. Each patient was matched to up to 5 control subjects by age, gender, calendar period, and region. Using Cox proportional hazards, we tested for an association between CD and the subsequent diagnosis of CMM.

Results: Among patients with CD (n = 29,028), 78 subsequently developed CMM (0.3%). Compared with control subjects there was no significant association between CD and CMM (hazard ratio 0.94, 95% confidence interval 0.73-1.20). This null association was similar for men (hazard ratio 0.99, 95% confidence interval 0.68-1.44) and women (hazard ratio 0.89, 95% confidence interval 0.64-1.24), and in all age strata.

Limitations: Lack of data regarding undiagnosed CD is a limitation.

Conclusion: In this population-based study we found no association between CD and the subsequent diagnosis of CMM. Prior studies showing a positive association between these 2 entities may have been a result of referral bias. (J Am Acad Dermatol 2014;71:245-8.)

Key words: celiac disease; melanoma.

Celiac disease (CD) is a chronic, immune-based disorder that is triggered by the ingestion of gluten in genetically susceptible individuals.¹ Patients with CD have an increased risk of developing certain malignancies including lymphoma and small-intestinal adenocarcinoma.²⁻⁵

There is uncertainty regarding the risk of cutaneous malignant melanoma (CMM) in patients with CD. Two studies showed no association

Abbreviations used:

CD:	celiac disease
CI:	confidence interval
CMM:	cutaneous malignant melanoma
HR:	hazard ratio

between CMM and CD^{6,7} whereas a third study found a significant association.⁴ Both conditions

From the Celiac Disease Center, Department of Medicine, Columbia University College of Physicians and Surgeons, New York^a; Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm,^b and Department of Oncology-Pathology,^c Karolinska University Hospital and Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm; and Department of Pediatrics, Örebro University Hospital.^d

Dr Ludvigsson is currently affiliated with the Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Karolinska Institutet.

Supported by American-Scandinavian Foundation, Celiac Sprue Association, and National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences, National Institutes of Health (UL1 TR000040) (Dr Lebwohl); Radiumhemmet Research Funds, Swedish Cancer

Society, and Stockholm County Council (Dr Hansson); and Örebro University Hospital, Karolinska Institutet, Swedish Society of Medicine, Swedish Research Council—Medicine (522-2A09-195), and Swedish Celiac Society (Dr Ludvigsson).

Conflicts of interest: None declared.

Accepted for publication March 14, 2014.

Reprint requests: Jonas F. Ludvigsson, MD, PhD, Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden. E-mail: jonasludvigsson@yahoo.com.

Published online May 1, 2014.

0190-9622/\$36.00

© 2014 by the American Academy of Dermatology, Inc.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2014.03.029>

have been increasing in incidence over the past few decades.^{8,9}

Given the contradictory epidemiologic data on the relationship between these 2 conditions, their relationship with the immune system, and their parallel increasing incidences, we aimed to quantify the association between CD and the subsequent diagnosis of CMM in a population-based cohort study.

METHODS

Identification of cases and controls

We identified patients with histologic evidence of CD at all 28 pathology departments in Sweden from July 1969 to February 2008. CD was defined via Systematized Nomenclature of Medicine-Clinical Terms (SnoMed) codes corresponding to villous atrophy. In a previous validation study involving medical record review of 114 patients with villous atrophy identified through this method, 95% had a clinical diagnosis of CD.¹⁰ Each patient with CD was then matched via the Total Population Register to up to 5 non-CD control subjects, using the following matching parameter: age, gender, year, and region within Sweden.

Measured outcomes

All patients with CD ($n = 29,096$) and control subjects ($n = 144,522$) were cross-referenced with the population-based Swedish Cancer Registry,¹¹ and cases of CMM were identified based on the *International Classification of Diseases, Revision 7* code 190.x. We excluded all individuals who received a diagnosis of CMM before CD diagnosis ($n = 68$) or the corresponding date of inclusion as a control ($n = 466$). We also recorded, when available, whether the patient was given the diagnosis of in situ CMM versus invasive CMM. In the case of individuals who were coded for both in situ and invasive CMM, we classified such patients as having whichever diagnosis came later, because reclassification was likely as a result of further histologic review.

Statistical considerations and sensitivity analyses

Time at risk began on the day of CD diagnosis or the corresponding date of inclusion as a control, and patients were followed up until the development of CMM, death, emigration, or December 31, 2009. We

used Cox proportional hazards, conditioned on sex, age, calendar period, and region to measure for an association between CD and the subsequent development of CMM. We also separately calculated the degree of association between CD and in situ CMM and invasive CMM. In these analyses, we adjusted for educational attainment; in the case of children, we used the greater educational attainment of the 2 parents.

Because the risk of certain malignancies and mortality in CD changes over time,^{2,12} we subsequently used pseudo-time-dependent co-variables to test whether the relationship between CD and CMM remained constant over time after CD diagnosis. We then performed stratified analyses based on age group (0-19, 20-39, 40-59, and ≥ 60 years), gender, and calendar

period so as to determine whether the relationship between CD and CMM was modified by any of these parameters.

In a series of sensitivity analyses, we retested for an association between CD and CMM, now: (1) no longer adjusting for educational attainment; (2) excluding any patient with malignant melanoma diagnosed during the first year after CD diagnosis, and starting time at risk 1 year after diagnosis; and (3) excluding any patient with any solid organ or hematologic malignancy before CD diagnosis.

We used software (SAS, Version 9.3, SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC) for all analyses. We report hazard ratios (HR) with corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CI), and all reported *P* values are 2-sided. The research ethics committee of the Karolinska Institute approved this study (2006/633-31/4) on June 14, 2006.

RESULTS

Characteristics of patients with CD and matched control subjects are shown in [Table 1](#). The median age of CD diagnosis was 30 years. Some 62% of patients were female and the majority of patients were given the diagnosis of CD after 1990. The median follow-up time for patients with CD and control subjects was 9.9 years and 10.1 years, respectively. During the follow-up, 78 (0.3%) patients with CD and 427 (0.3%) control subjects developed CMM.

Among the 78 patients with CD who then developed CMM, the median time that elapsed between CD diagnosis and CMM was 7.7 years

CAPSULE SUMMARY

- The literature regarding the risk of cutaneous malignant melanoma in patients with celiac disease is conflicting.
- In this population-based study, we found no increased risk of cutaneous malignant melanoma in patients with celiac disease.
- These results suggest that no additional screening for melanoma is warranted in patients with celiac disease.

Table I. Characteristics of patients with celiac disease and matched control subjects

Characteristic	CD, n = 29,028 (%)	Control, n = 144,056 (%)
Age at study entry, y		
0-19	11,801 (41)	58,852 (41)
20-39	5306 (18)	26,353 (18)
40-59	6452 (22)	32,081 (22)
≥ 60	5469 (19)	26,770 (19)
Male	11,064 (38)	54,776 (38)
Female	17,964 (62)	89,280 (62)
Calendar period of study entry		
≤ 1989	4101 (14)	20,333 (14)
1990-1999	12,033 (41)	59,691 (41)
≥ 2000	12,894 (44)	64,032 (44)
Median/mean follow-up time, y	9.9/11.2	10.1/11.4
Developed CMM	78 (0.3)	427 (0.3)

CD, Celiac disease; CMM, cutaneous malignant melanoma.

(range 0.3-24.9 years). Among patients with CD, the median age at CMM diagnosis was 60.1 years (range 18.8-87.6 years).

Overall there was no significant association between CD and CMM (HR 0.94, 95% CI 0.73-1.20) (Table II). This null relationship remained stable over time (<1 year since CD diagnosis: HR 0.57, 95% CI 0.20-1.62; 1-5 years: HR 0.88, 95% CI 0.54-1.42; >5 years: HR 1.01, 95% CI 0.75-1.36). On repeated analysis, now not adjusting for level of education, the null relationship persisted (HR 0.94, 95% CI 0.73-1.20). In a sensitivity analysis excluding any patient with CMM diagnosed during the first year after CD diagnosis, and starting the at-risk time 2 years after diagnosis or inclusion as a control, the relationship remained null (HR 0.97, 95% CI 0.75-1.25). Similarly, when we repeated the analysis, now excluding patients with any malignancy before CD diagnosis or exclusion as a control, the relationship remained null (HR 0.96, 95% CI 0.75-1.24).

The null relationship between CD and subsequent diagnosis of malignant melanoma was similar for men (HR 0.99, 95% CI 0.68-1.44) and women (HR 0.89, 95% CI 0.64-1.24). There did not appear to be effect modification according to age of CD diagnosis; although the CI were wide for those younger than 20 years (when restricted to those >60 years: HR 2.03, 95% CI 0.70-5.89), there was no significant association between CD and CMM in any of the predetermined age strata. When stratifying by year of CD diagnosis, the null association was present in all 3 time strata: before 1989 (HR 0.73, 95% CI 0.40-1.33), 1990 to 1999 (HR 0.89, 95%

Table II. Association of celiac disease with cutaneous malignant melanoma stratified by follow-up time

Stratum	No. of events	Adjusted* HR (95% CI)	P value
Overall			
Control	427	1.0	
Celiac disease	78	0.94 (0.73-1.20)	.6018
<1 y			
Control	36	1.0	
Celiac disease	4	0.57 (0.20-1.62)	.2932
1-5 y			
Control	113	1.0	
Celiac disease	20	0.88 (0.54-1.42)	.6036
>5 y			
Control	278	1.0	
Celiac disease	54	1.01 (0.75-1.36)	.9477

CI, Confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio.

*Adjusted for education level.

CI 0.62-1.27), and 2000 and after (HR 1.16, 95% CI 0.76-1.77). When analyzing in situ CMM and invasive CMM separately we found that neither in situ CMM (HR 0.89, 95% CI 0.55-1.44) nor invasive CMM (HR 0.93, 95% CI 0.70-1.25) was increased in patients with CD.

DISCUSSION

In this population-based cohort study of 29,028 patients with CD, we found no increased risk of developing CMM after a CD diagnosis as compared with matched control subjects. This lack of a significantly increased risk was noted in multiple time strata after CD diagnosis, and was similar across gender and age categories.

Previous studies investigating the relationship between CD and CMM have yielded conflicting results. In a population-based study of 869 patients with CD in England, United Kingdom, followed up from 1978 through 2001, only 1 case of CMM developed in the peridiagnosis period and none developed beyond 2 years after CD diagnosis.⁷ In a Swedish study involving 11,019 inpatients with a diagnosis of CD, 4 developed CMM, which was not significantly different from the expected rate (standardized incidence ratio 0.6, 95% CI 0.2-1.7).⁶ However, the CI were wide, leaving open the possibility of a modest positive association between these 2 conditions. One study of 381 patients with CD in the United States showed a strong positive association, with a standardized morbidity ratio of 5.0 (95% CI 2.1-12), although the setting of this positive study was in a referral center, and included cases of CMM that were diagnosed before the diagnosis of CD. The current study, involving 29,028 patients with CD free of CMM at the time of

CD diagnosis, is the largest investigation to date to our knowledge. The null findings are concordant with those of the 2 smaller population-based studies,^{6,7} suggesting that the 1 positive study may have been influenced by referral bias.

Both CD and CMM share Caucasian race as a risk factor,^{13,14} and both conditions have been increasing in incidence in recent decades. One study found that the seroprevalence of CD increased from 0.2% in the years spanning 1948 to 1954 to 0.9% in 2006 to 2008.⁹ The increase in CMM incidence in recent decades has been documented in both the United States and Sweden,⁸ although this increase is most marked among individuals older than 60 years; behavioral factors such as tanning could explain the increasing incidence of CMM in this age group.¹³

The role of the immune system in CMM has been the subject of extensive research.^{15,16} Ipilimumab, a monoclonal antibody that blocks cytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated antigen 4, is the first drug to show a modestly increased survival in patients with metastatic CMM.¹⁷ This drug commonly causes gastrointestinal toxicity including enterocolitis¹⁸ and in 1 case report, caused severe diarrhea that ultimately led to a new diagnosis of CD.¹⁹ Although it is not known whether ipilimumab triggered the development of CD or merely exacerbated a sub-clinical form of this condition, the shared immune basis of CD and CMM prompted us to investigate the relationship between these 2 illnesses.

Strengths of this study include its population-based setting and large sample size, which permitted us to examine subgroups. The long follow-up time (median of 9.9 years) allowed us to determine whether a diagnosis of CD affected CMM in this time horizon, although there is a possibility that a risk confined to the long-term could be present. A limitation is that we were unable to determine whether undiagnosed CD (and thus ongoing gluten exposure) is associated with an increased risk of CMM.

In conclusion, we found no association between a diagnosis of CD and the subsequent development of CMM. These findings confirm those of smaller population-based studies testing for this association, and suggest that a previous positive study was likely influenced by referral bias. Although these 2 conditions share Caucasian race as a risk factor, CD itself does not appear to increase the risk of CMM and therefore no additional screening measures for CMM are warranted in these patients.

REFERENCES

1. Fasano A, Catassi C. Clinical practice: celiac disease. *N Engl J Med* 2012;367:2419-26.
2. Elfstrom P, Granath F, Ye W, Ludvigsson JF. Low risk of gastrointestinal cancer among patients with celiac disease, inflammation, or latent celiac disease. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2012;10:30-6.
3. Catassi C, Fabiani E, Corrao G, Barbato M, De Renzo A, Carella AM, et al. Risk of non-Hodgkin lymphoma in celiac disease. *JAMA* 2002;287:1413-9.
4. Green PH, Fleischauer AT, Bhagat G, Goyal R, Jabri B, Neugut AI. Risk of malignancy in patients with celiac disease. *Am J Med* 2003;115:191-5.
5. Elfstrom P, Granath F, Ekstrom Smedby K, Montgomery SM, Askling J, Ekbom A, et al. Risk of lymphoproliferative malignancy in relation to small intestinal histopathology among patients with celiac disease. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2011; 103:436-44.
6. Askling J, Linet M, Gridley G, Halstensen TS, Ekstrom K, Ekbom A. Cancer incidence in a population-based cohort of individuals hospitalized with celiac disease or dermatitis herpetiformis. *Gastroenterology* 2002;123:1428-35.
7. Card TR, West J, Holmes GK. Risk of malignancy in diagnosed celiac disease: a 24-year prospective, population-based, cohort study. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2004;20:769-75.
8. Erdmann F, Lortet-Tieulent J, Schüz J, Zeeb H, Greinert R, Breitbart EW, et al. International trends in the incidence of malignant melanoma 1953-2008—are recent generations at higher or lower risk? *Int J Cancer* 2013;132:385-400.
9. Rubio-Tapia A, Kyle RA, Kaplan EL, Johnson DR, Page W, Erdtmann F, et al. Increased prevalence and mortality in undiagnosed celiac disease. *Gastroenterology* 2009;137:88-93.
10. Ludvigsson JF, Brandt L, Montgomery SM, Granath F, Ekbom A. Validation study of villous atrophy and small intestinal inflammation in Swedish biopsy registers. *BMC Gastroenterol* 2009;9:19.
11. Mattsson B, Wallgren A. Completeness of the Swedish Cancer Register: non-notified cancer cases recorded on death certificates in 1978. *Acta Radiol Oncol* 1984;23:305-13.
12. Ludvigsson JF, Montgomery SM, Ekbom A, Brandt L, Granath F. Small-intestinal histopathology and mortality risk in celiac disease. *JAMA* 2009;302:1171-8.
13. Nikolaou V, Stratigos AJ. Emerging trends in the epidemiology of melanoma. *Br J Dermatol* 2014;170:11-9.
14. Rubio-Tapia A, Ludvigsson JF, Brantner TL, Murray JA, Everhart JE. The prevalence of celiac disease in the United States. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2012;107:1538-44, quiz 7, 45.
15. Mukherji B. Immunology of melanoma. *Clin Dermatol* 2013;31: 156-65.
16. Shimanovsky A, Jethava A, Dasanu CA. Immune alterations in malignant melanoma and current immunotherapy concepts. *Expert Opin Biol Ther* 2013;13:1413-27.
17. Hodi FS, O'Day SJ, McDermott DF, Weber RW, Sosman JA, Haanen JB, et al. Improved survival with ipilimumab in patients with metastatic melanoma. *N Engl J Med* 2010;363:711-23.
18. Andrews S, Holden R. Characteristics and management of immune-related adverse effects associated with ipilimumab, a new immunotherapy for metastatic melanoma. *Cancer Manag Res* 2012;4:299-307.
19. Gentile NM, D'Souza A, Fujii LL, Wu TT, Murray JA. Association between ipilimumab and celiac disease. *Mayo Clin Proc* 2013;88:414-7.